

EXACT CATEGORIES AND DUALITY

BY

D. A. BUCHSBAUM

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the book *Homological algebra*, by H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, the authors dealt with functors defined on categories of modules over certain rings and whose values again were modules over a ring. It will be shown in this paper that the theory may be generalized to functors defined on abstract categories, and whose values are again in such abstract categories. An abstract treatment such as this has several advantages. We list a few:

(1) The dualities of the type

Kernel	— cokernel
Projective	— injective
$Z(A)$	— $Z'(A)$,

originally suggested by MacLane [4], may now be formulated as explicit mathematical theorems.

(2) In treating derived functors, it suffices to consider left derived functors of a covariant functor of several variables; all other types needed may then be obtained by a dualization process.

(3) Further applications of the theory of derived functors are bound to show that the consideration of modules over a ring Λ will be insufficient. Rings with additional structure such as grading, differentiation, topology, etc., will have to be considered. With the theory developed abstractly, these generalizations are readily available.

The paper is divided into four parts. Part I deals with basic definitions, duality, and fundamental lemmas. We make no attempt to prove or even state many of the necessary trivia which are used throughout.

Part II is rather short, due to the facts that most of the results follow trivially from Part I, and the definitions are identical with those in [1]. Connected sequences of functors were included in §4 since they are so similar to homology sequences, and the proof of 4.1 was given to show that it is independent of Axiom V, which is not apparent from [1].

Part III is devoted to the abstract treatment of the fundamental concepts in [1]. Theorem 5.1, however, is proved in its full generality so as to be applicable in the theory of sheaves.

Part IV contains three applications of a purely algebraic nature. We desist from giving applications to theory of sheaves as these would be fragmentary.

Received by the editors November 13, 1954.

The following treatment has some points in common with that of Mac-Lane [4].

PART I. EXACT CATEGORIES

1. Definition of exact categories. An exact category $\mathcal{A}$ is given by the following four data:

- (i) a collection of objects A ,
- (ii) a distinguished object $\emptyset$, called the zero object,
- (iii) an abelian group $H(A, B)$ given for any pair of objects A, B in $\mathcal{A}$. The elements $\phi \in H(A, B)$ will be called *maps*. We shall frequently write $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ instead of $\phi \in H(A, B)$. The zero element of any of the groups $H(A, B)$ will be denoted by 0;

(iv) a homomorphism $H(B, C) \otimes H(A, B) \rightarrow H(A, C)$ given for each triple of objects $A, B, C \in \mathcal{A}$. The image of $\psi \otimes \phi$ in $H(A, C)$ will be denoted by $\psi\phi$ and will be called the composition of ψ and ϕ .

The primitive terms of (i)–(iv) are subjected to four axioms.

AXIOM I. If $\alpha: A \rightarrow B, \beta: B \rightarrow C, \gamma: C \rightarrow D$, then $\gamma(\beta\alpha) = (\gamma\beta)\alpha$.

AXIOM II. $H(\emptyset, \emptyset) = 0$.

AXIOM III. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a map $e_A: A \rightarrow A$ such that $e_A\beta = \beta$ for each $\beta: B \rightarrow A$ and $\gamma e_A = \gamma$ for each $\gamma: A \rightarrow C$.

It is easy to verify that $H(A, \emptyset) = 0 = H(\emptyset, A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and that the *identity* map e_A of Axiom III is unique.

A map $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ will be called an *equivalence* if there exists a map $\phi': B \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi'\phi = e_A, \phi\phi' = e_B$. It is easy to see that ϕ' is unique; we write $\phi' = \phi^{-1}$. Clearly ϕ^{-1} also is an equivalence and $(\phi^{-1})^{-1} = \phi$. If $\psi: B \rightarrow C$ is another equivalence, then $\psi\phi$ also is an equivalence and $(\psi\phi)^{-1} = \phi^{-1}\psi^{-1}$.

DEFINITION. We shall say that the pair of maps

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$$

has property (E) if the following conditions hold

- (1) $\beta\alpha = 0$.
- (2) If $\alpha': A' \rightarrow B$ and $\beta\alpha' = 0$, then there exists a unique $\gamma: A' \rightarrow A$ with $\alpha' = \alpha\gamma$.
- (3) If $\beta': B \rightarrow C'$ and $\beta'\alpha = 0$, then there exists a unique $\delta: C \rightarrow C'$ with $\beta' = \delta\beta$.

We are now ready to state

AXIOM IV. For any map $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ there exist objects K, I, I', F and maps such that

$$(*) \quad K \xrightarrow{\sigma} A \xrightarrow{\tau} I \xrightarrow{\theta} I' \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\pi} F$$

such that

$$(4) \quad \alpha = \kappa\theta\tau,$$

- (5) θ is an equivalence,
 (6) $K \rightarrow^{\sigma} A \rightarrow^{\tau} I$ has property (E),
 (7) $I' \rightarrow^{\kappa} B \rightarrow^{\pi} F$ has property (E).

LEMMA 1.1. Let $A \rightarrow^{\alpha} B \rightarrow^{\beta} C$ have property (E), and let $D \rightarrow^{\sigma} A (C \rightarrow^{\tau} F)$ be such that $\alpha\sigma=0$ ($\tau\beta=0$). Then $\sigma=0$ ($\tau=0$).

THEOREM 1.2. If $K_1 \rightarrow^{\sigma_1} A \rightarrow^{\tau_1} I_1 \rightarrow^{\theta_1} I'_1 \rightarrow^{\kappa_1} B \rightarrow^{\pi_1} F_1$ also satisfy (4)–(7), then there exist unique maps ξ, η, ζ, ω such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 K & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & I & \xrightarrow{\theta} & I' & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & B & \xrightarrow{\pi} & F \\
 \xi \downarrow & & \downarrow e_A & & \downarrow \eta & & \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow e_B & & \downarrow \omega \\
 K_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & I_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & I'_1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & B & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & F_1
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. The maps ξ, η, ζ, ω are equivalences.

Proof. Since $\kappa_1\theta_1\tau_1\sigma=\alpha\sigma=\kappa\theta\tau=0$ implies that $\tau_1\sigma=0$, we know there is a unique $\xi: K \rightarrow K_1$ such that $\sigma=\sigma_1\xi$. Also we know there is a unique $\eta: I \rightarrow I_1$ such that $\tau_1=\eta\tau$. Similarly we obtain ζ and ω with $\kappa=\kappa_1\zeta$ and $\pi_1=\omega\pi$. Now we must show that $\theta_1\eta=\zeta\theta$. But

$$\kappa_1\theta_1\eta\tau = \kappa_1\theta_1\tau_1 = \alpha = \kappa\theta\tau = \kappa_1\zeta\theta\tau.$$

Thus $\kappa_1(\theta_1\eta - \zeta\theta)\tau = 0$ which, by Lemma 1.1, implies that $\theta_1\eta = \zeta\theta$.

It is easy to show that ξ, η, ζ, ω are equivalences.

2. **Exact sequences.** In view of Theorem 1.2, we shall call the pairs (K, σ) , (I, τ) , (I', κ) , and (F, π) the *kernel*, *coimage*, *image* and *cokernel* of α respectively. The sense in which these notions are uniquely associated with α is clear from Theorem 1.2.

DEFINITION. A sequence

$$A_m \xrightarrow{\alpha_m} A_{m+1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} A_n \quad m+1 < n$$

is *exact* if $\text{Ker } \alpha_q = \text{Im } \alpha_{q-1}$ $m < q < n$.

We now obtain the crucial

THEOREM 2.1. A necessary and sufficient condition that

$$A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$$

have property (E) is that

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow \emptyset$$

be exact.

Proof. Suppose $A \rightarrow^{\alpha} B \rightarrow^{\beta} C$ has property (E). Then we must show that

$\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$, $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$, $B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ are all exact. Let us first consider $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$, and show that $\text{Ker } \beta = \text{Im } \alpha$. The decompositions of α and β can be taken to be

$$(1) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{e_A} A \xrightarrow{e_A} A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C,$$

$$(2) \quad A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \xrightarrow{e_C} C \xrightarrow{e_C} C \rightarrow \emptyset.$$

(Since, as can be easily seen, $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{e_A} A$, $C \xrightarrow{e_C} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ have property (E)). Thus $\text{Im } \alpha = (A, \alpha) = \text{Ker } \beta$.

From (1) we see that $\text{Ker } \alpha = (\emptyset, 0)$ and from (2) we see that $\text{Im } \beta = (C, e_C)$. But it can be trivially verified that $\text{Im } (\emptyset \rightarrow A) = (\emptyset, 0)$ and $\text{Ker } (C \rightarrow \emptyset) = (C, e_C)$. Thus, we have shown that $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$ and $B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ are also exact, hence the necessity has been established.

For sufficiency, we assume that $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$, $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$, $B \rightarrow C \rightarrow \emptyset$ are exact. This tells us that $\text{Im } \beta = (C, e_C)$, $\text{Ker } \alpha = (\emptyset, 0)$ and $\text{Im } \alpha = \text{Ker } \beta$. Hence we can write the decompositions of α and β as

$$(3) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{e_A} A \xrightarrow{\theta} D \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\pi} F, \quad \kappa\theta = \alpha,$$

$$(4) \quad D \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\pi'} F' \xrightarrow{\theta'} C \xrightarrow{e_C} C \rightarrow \emptyset, \quad \theta'\pi' = \beta.$$

Now we must verify conditions (1), (2) and (3) of property (E).

$$\beta\alpha = \theta'\pi'\kappa\theta = 0.$$

Now, suppose $\alpha': A' \rightarrow B$ and $\beta\alpha' = 0$. Then $\theta'\pi'\alpha' = 0$ implies $\pi'\alpha' = 0$. Hence there is a unique $\gamma': A' \rightarrow D$ such that $\kappa\gamma' = \alpha'$. But $\gamma = \theta^{-1}\gamma': A' \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha(\theta^{-1}\gamma') = \kappa\theta\theta^{-1}\gamma' = \kappa\gamma' = \alpha'$. If $\tilde{\gamma}: A' \rightarrow A$ and $\alpha\tilde{\gamma} = \alpha'$, then $\kappa\theta\tilde{\gamma} = \kappa\gamma'$ implies $\tilde{\gamma} = \theta^{-1}\gamma'$. Hence condition (2) is satisfied. Similarly one verifies (3) and this completes the proof.

DEFINITIONS. $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ is a *monomorphism* if $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$ is exact. $\beta: B \rightarrow C$ is an *epimorphism* if $B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact.

3. G-graded categories. To cover the case when we are dealing with categories of graded modules over graded rings, we introduce the notion of a G -graded category $(\mathcal{A}, G)$ where G is an abelian group. In most applications, G is the group of integers $\mathbb{Z}$, or a direct sum of $\mathbb{Z}$'s.

A G -graded category is given by the following four data:

- (i) a collection of objects $\{A\}$;
- (ii) a distinguished object $\emptyset$, called the zero object;
- (iii) an abelian group $H(A, B)$ for any pair of objects $A, B \in (\mathcal{A}, G)$, an abelian group $H_g(A, B) \subset H(A, B)$ for any pair of objects $A, B \in (\mathcal{A}, G)$ and any $g \in G$. A map $\phi \in H_g(A, B)$ is said to be homogeneous of degree g , and we still write $\phi: A \rightarrow B$;

(iv) a homomorphism $H_{g_2}(B, C) \otimes H_{g_1}(A, B) \rightarrow H_{g_1+g_2}(A, C)$ given for each triple of objects $A, B, C \in (\mathcal{A}, G)$ and each pair of elements $g_1, g_2 \in G$.

Five axioms are imposed on these primitive terms.

AXIOM 0. $H_{g_1}(A, B) \cap H_{g_2}(A, B) = 0$ if $g_1 \neq g_2$.

AXIOMS I-III are the same as those for an exact category, applied to homogeneous maps, Axiom III implying that e_A has degree 0.

AXIOM IV is the same as Axiom IV for exact categories applied to homogeneous maps, except that $\sigma, \tau, \kappa, \pi$ have degree 0, thereby implying that the degree of θ is the same as the degree of α . (We assume property (E) defined only for homogeneous maps.)

An exact category is a special case of a G -graded category; G is taken to be trivial and $H_0(A, B) = H(A, B)$. In the sequel, we may think of the theorems as being proved for G -graded categories. However, we shall suppress the degrees of the maps and in general use the language of exact categories.

4. Duality. For subsequent work, we shall have to deal with the dual category $\mathcal{A}^*$ of an exact category $\mathcal{A}$. We shall consider a metamathematical duality theorem afterwards.

The objects of $\mathcal{A}^*$ are symbols A^* with $A \in \mathcal{A}$; the zero object of $\mathcal{A}^*$ is $\emptyset^*$; the group $H(A^*, B^*)$ is defined as $H(B, A)$ (for graded categories $H_g(A^*, B^*) = H_{-g}(B, A)$); for each map $\phi: B \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{A}$ we denote by $\phi^*: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ the corresponding "dual" map in $\mathcal{A}^*$; the composition in $\mathcal{A}^*$ is given by $\psi^* \phi^* = (\phi \psi)^*$.

$\mathcal{A}^*$ is clearly an exact category; Axioms I and II are trivial to verify. In Axiom III, define e_{A^*} to be $(e_A)^*$. To verify Axiom IV, we suppose we have $\alpha^*: A^* \rightarrow B^*$. Then $\alpha: B \rightarrow A$. We therefore have $K \rightarrow^\sigma B \rightarrow^\tau I \rightarrow^\theta I' \rightarrow^* A \rightarrow^* F$. But then dualizing we obtain $F^* \rightarrow^* A^* \rightarrow^* I'^* \rightarrow^{\theta^*} I^* \rightarrow^* B^* \rightarrow^{\sigma^*} K^*$. Now $\tau^* \theta^* \kappa^* = (\kappa \theta \tau)^* = \alpha^*$. θ^* is an equivalence for $(\theta \theta^{-1})^* = (e_I)^* = e_{I'^*}$, and $(\theta^{-1} \theta)^* = e_{I^*}$. It is obvious that $F^* \rightarrow A^* \rightarrow I'^*$ and $I^* \rightarrow B^* \rightarrow K^*$ both have property (E).

Now for the metamathematical duality we replace the primitive term $H(A, B)$ by $H(B, A)$, i.e., we "reverse arrows." We also replace $H(B, C) \otimes H(A, B) \rightarrow {}^t A, B, C H(A, C)$ by $H(B, A) \otimes H(C, B) \rightarrow {}^t C, B, A H(C, A)$.

Making these substitutions, we see that Axioms I-IV are unchanged. Hence we arrive at the

DUALITY THEOREM 4.1. *Let S be a statement in $\mathcal{A}$, S^* the "dual" statement in $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e., the statement obtained from S by the above-mentioned substitutions). Then S is true in $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if S^* is true in $\mathcal{A}$.*

Throughout the rest of this paper, we shall state lemmas, but not their duals, it being understood that the latter are also true.

5. Fundamental lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $\alpha: A \rightarrow B$ be a monomorphism. Then there is a mapping β*

and an object C such that $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact. (For graded categories, β can be chosen to be of degree zero.)

LEMMA 5.2. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} C$ be exact is that $\beta\alpha=0$ and for every map $f: A' \rightarrow B$ such that $\beta f=0$, there is a unique $f': A' \rightarrow A$ such that $f=\alpha f'$.

LEMMA 5.3. A necessary and sufficient condition that α be a monomorphism is that if f is any map such that $\alpha f=0$, then $f=0$.

THEOREM 5.4. A necessary and sufficient condition that $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\theta} B \rightarrow \emptyset$ be exact is that θ be an equivalence.

THEOREM 5.5. Let

$$(D) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & \searrow \kappa_1 & & \downarrow \kappa & & \searrow \kappa_2 & \\ & \emptyset & \rightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & B & \xrightarrow{\zeta} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & \searrow \rho_1 & & \downarrow \rho & & \searrow \rho_2 & \\ & \emptyset & \rightarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & C & \xrightarrow{\beta} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & \searrow & & \downarrow & & \searrow & \\ & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram with exact columns. If the bottom two rows are exact, then so is the top row.

Proof. First we show that $\mu\lambda=0$. $\kappa_2\mu\lambda=\zeta\eta\kappa_1=0$. Thus $\mu\lambda=0$.

Next we see that λ is a monomorphism. Suppose $\lambda f=0$. Then $\kappa\lambda f=0=\eta\kappa_1 f$, which implies $f=0$. Therefore, we can find a map ω and an object N such that $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} A \xrightarrow{\omega} N \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact. We must now show that N is equivalent to A_2 , i.e., we must produce an equivalence $\theta: N \rightarrow A_2$ such that $\theta\omega=\mu$. To do this, we apply the first Noether isomorphism theorem (which holds in an exact category $\mathcal{A}$), to the following sets of exact sequences:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \emptyset &\rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} B \xrightarrow{\zeta} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\eta\kappa_1} B \xrightarrow{\tau} F(B, A_1) \rightarrow \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\rho} C \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} A \xrightarrow{\omega} N \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\eta\kappa_1} B \xrightarrow{\tau} F(B, A_1) \rightarrow \emptyset,
 \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$(I) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{n_1} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{m_1} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset,$$

exact with $n_1\rho_1 = \tau\eta$, $m_1\tau = \zeta$;

$$(II) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{n_2} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{m_2} C \rightarrow \emptyset$$

exact with $n_2\omega = \tau\kappa$, $m_2\tau = \rho$.

We then apply the dual to the Noether isomorphism theorem to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \quad & \emptyset \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{n_2} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{m_2} C \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\sigma} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{\beta m_2} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{n_1} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{m_1} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad & \emptyset \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 & \emptyset \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\sigma} F(B, A_1) \xrightarrow{\beta m_2} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset,
 \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$(III) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{n_3} R \xrightarrow{m_3} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

exact with $\sigma n_3 = n_2$, $\alpha m_3 = m_2\sigma$;

$$(IV) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{n_4} R \xrightarrow{m_4} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

exact with $\sigma n_4 = n_1$, $\kappa_2 m_4 = m_1\sigma$.

Now we can show that $m_3 n_4 = e_{C_1}$; $\alpha m_3 n_4 = m_2 \sigma n_4 = m_2 n_1$, $m_2 n_1 \rho_1 = m_2 \tau \eta = \rho \eta = \alpha \rho_1$ which implies $m_2 n_1 = \alpha$. $\alpha m_3 n_4 = \alpha$ implies $m_3 n_4 = e_{C_1}$.

Let $\theta = m_4 n_3: N \rightarrow A_2$. Then $\theta \omega = \mu$ for $\kappa_2 \theta \omega = \kappa_2 m_4 n_3 \omega = m_1 \sigma n_3 \omega = m_1 n_2 \omega = m_1 \tau \kappa = \zeta \kappa = \kappa_2 \mu$. Hence $\theta \omega = \mu$. Now we show that θ is an equivalence.

Let $f\theta = 0$. Then $(fm_4)n_3 = 0$ implies that $fm_4 = f'm_3$. Since $0 = fm_4 n_4 = f'm_3 n_4 = f'$, we see that $fm_4 = 0$, hence $f = 0$. If $\theta g = 0$, then $m_4(n_3 g) = 0$ implies that $n_3 g = n_4 g'$. Since $0 = m_3 n_3 g = m_3 n_4 g' = g'$, we have $n_3 g = 0$, hence

$g = 0$. Thus θ is both a monomorphism and an epimorphism, hence an equivalence.

We remark here that this proof shows that R is the direct sum of A_2 and C_1 (see Part III, §1, Remark).

LEMMA 5.6. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & \emptyset & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & C_1 \\
 & & \alpha_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & C
 \end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns. Then

- (a) $\text{Ker } \alpha_1 \approx \text{Ker } \beta_1$,
- (b) *There is a monomorphism* $\mu: \text{Im } \alpha_1 \rightarrow \text{Im } \beta_1$,
- (c) *There is a monomorphism* $\iota: \text{Coker } \rho_1 \rightarrow \text{Coker } \rho$,
- (d) $\text{Coker } \rho_1 \approx \text{Coker } \mu$.

LEMMA 5.7. *If* $A_1 \rightarrow^{\alpha_1} A \rightarrow^{\alpha_2} A_2$ *can be imbedded in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_2 & & \\
 \rho_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho_2 & & \\
 B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_2 & & \\
 \kappa_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa & & & & \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C & &
 \end{array}$$

in which the columns and bottom two rows are exact, then $A_1 \rightarrow^{\alpha_1} A \rightarrow^{\alpha_2} A_2$ *is exact.*

THEOREM 5.8. *Consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & Z_1' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & Z' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & Z_2' \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & & \downarrow d_1 & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow d_2 \\
 \text{(H)} & & \emptyset & \rightarrow & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & Z & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & Z_2
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. Then

- (a) $\text{Ker } d_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker } d \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_2$ is exact,
 (b) $\text{Coker } d_1 \rightarrow \text{Coker } d \rightarrow \text{Coker } d_2$ is exact,
 (c) There is a map $\mathcal{L}: \text{Ker } d_2 \rightarrow \text{Coker } d_1$ such that

$$(5) \quad \text{Ker } d \rightarrow \text{Ker } d_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \text{Coker } d_1 \rightarrow \text{Coker } d \text{ is exact.}$$

Proof. (a) and (b) are trivial consequences of Lemma 5.7 (and its dual). Therefore, we need only concern ourselves with (c). We shall use two dual constructions of the map $\mathcal{L}$, obtaining a map $\mathcal{L}_1$ and a map $\mathcal{L}_2$, and show that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$. This will demonstrate the self-duality of $\mathcal{L}$.

We first employ a procedure which is tantamount to taking the counter-image. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & K\alpha_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & K\alpha_2 & \rightarrow & \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \mu_2 \downarrow & & \bar{\alpha}_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & D_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & Z' & \xrightarrow{\tau_2 \alpha_2} & I_{d_2} \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \delta_2 \downarrow & & \alpha_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \parallel & \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & Kd_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} & Z'_2 & \xrightarrow{\tau_2} & Id_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset &
 \end{array}$$

The three rows and the middle and right columns are exact by definition; μ_2 and δ_2 are naturally induced maps and $D_2 = \text{Ker } \tau_2 \alpha_2$. Thus the diagram is commutative and the left column is exact. Since $\beta_2 d \psi_2 = d_2 \alpha_2 \psi_2 = d_2 \sigma_2 \delta_2 = 0$, we know that $d \psi_2 = \beta_1 \iota_2$ where $\iota_2: D_2 \rightarrow Z_1$. Now we examine the following exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 \emptyset &\rightarrow K_{d_1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} Z'_1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1} I_{d_1} \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 \emptyset &\rightarrow I_{d_1} \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} Z_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} F_1 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
 \emptyset &\rightarrow K\alpha_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_1} Z'_1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_1} K\alpha_2 \rightarrow \emptyset,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_1 \tau_1 = d_1$ and the last sequence is exact due to the exactness of the top row of (H). Also $\bar{\alpha}_2 \bar{\pi}_1 = \alpha_1$.

Now $\tau_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 = 0$ for $\beta_1 \kappa_1 \tau_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 = \beta_1 d_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 = d \alpha_1 \bar{\alpha}_1 = 0$. Therefore $\bar{\alpha}_1 = \sigma_1 \xi_2$ where $\xi_2: K\alpha_1 \rightarrow K_{d_1}$. ξ_2 is, of course, a monomorphism. Thus we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
K\alpha_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_1} & Z'_1 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}_1} & K\alpha_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\downarrow \xi_2 & & \parallel & & \\
K_{d_1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} & Z'_1 & \xrightarrow{\tau_1} & I_{d_1}
\end{array}$$

and therefore an epimorphism $\eta_2: K\alpha_2 \rightarrow I_{d_1}$ such that $\eta_2 \bar{\pi}_1 = \tau_1$. We observe the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 \kappa_1 \eta_2 \bar{\pi}_1 &= \beta_1 \kappa_1 \tau_1 = \beta_1 d_1 = d\alpha_1, \\
\beta_1 \iota_2 \mu_2 \bar{\pi}_1 &= d\psi_2 \mu_2 \bar{\pi}_1 = d\bar{\alpha}_2 \bar{\pi}_1 = d\alpha_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\kappa_1 \eta_2 = \iota_2 \mu_2$ so we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\alpha_2 & \xrightarrow{\mu_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} & K_{d_2} \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\downarrow \eta_2 & & \downarrow \iota_2 & & \\
I_{d_1} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & F_1
\end{array}$$

and obtain the map $\mathcal{L}_2: K_{d_2} \rightarrow F_1$ with $\mathcal{L}_2 \delta_2 = \pi_1 \iota_2$.

Construction of $\mathcal{L}_1$. In this construction we shall only label the diagrams and maps and not bother with the verification of each step.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\
& \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
\emptyset & \rightarrow & I_{d_1} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & F_{d_1} \rightarrow \emptyset \\
& \parallel & & & \downarrow \beta_1 & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\
\emptyset & \rightarrow & I_{d_1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_1 \kappa_1} & Z & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & D_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\beta}_1 & & \downarrow \mu_1 \\
\emptyset & \rightarrow & \emptyset & \rightarrow & \beta_1 & \xrightarrow{=} & \beta_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset
\end{array}$$

$\psi_1 d\alpha_1 = 0$ so $\psi_1 d = \iota_1 \alpha_2$ where $\iota_1: Z'_2 \rightarrow D_1$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\emptyset &\rightarrow F_{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}_2} F_{\beta_2} \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
\emptyset &\rightarrow K_{d_2} \xrightarrow{\sigma_2} Z'_2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} I_{d_2} \rightarrow \emptyset, \\
\emptyset &\rightarrow I_{d_2} \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} Z_2 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} F_{d_2} \rightarrow \emptyset,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2\tau_2 &= d_2, & \bar{\sigma}_2\bar{\beta}_1 &= \beta_2, \\ \bar{\beta}_2 &= \xi_1\pi_2, & \xi_1: Fd_2 &\rightarrow F\beta_2, \\ \kappa_2 &= \bar{\sigma}_2\eta_1, & \eta_1: Id_2 &\rightarrow F\beta_1,\end{aligned}$$

and $\eta_1\tau_2 = \mu_1\iota_1$. Therefore we have a map $\mathcal{L}_1: K_{d_2} \rightarrow F_{d_1}$ such that $\delta_1\mathcal{L}_1 = \iota_1\sigma_2$. To see that $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2$, we observe that

$$\delta_1\mathcal{L}_1\delta_2 = \iota_1\sigma_2\delta_2 = \iota_1\alpha_2\psi_2 = \psi_1d\psi_2 = \psi_1\beta_1\iota_2 = \delta_1\pi_1\iota_2 = \delta_1\mathcal{L}_2\delta_2.$$

In a G -graded category, we observe that $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$ can be constructed to have the same degree as d , provided $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ have degree zero.

We define $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}_2: K_{d_2} \rightarrow F_{d_1}$.

It will now suffice to show that $\text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\omega_2} \text{Ker } d_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \text{Coker } d_1$ is exact, for the proof of the other exactness is dual to this. We record the fact that $\alpha_2\sigma = \sigma_2\omega_2$ where $\sigma: \text{Ker } d \rightarrow Z'$.

$$\delta_1\mathcal{L}\omega_2 = \iota_1\sigma_2\omega_2 = \iota_1\alpha_2\sigma = \psi_1d\sigma = 0.$$

Hence $\mathcal{L}\omega_2 = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\emptyset &\rightarrow K\omega_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\phi_1} I\omega_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow I\omega_2 \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} \text{Ker } d_2 \xrightarrow{\nu_1} F\omega_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow K\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \text{Ker } d_2 \xrightarrow{\phi_2} I\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow I\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} \text{Coker } d_1 \xrightarrow{\nu_2} F\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \emptyset,\end{aligned}$$

exact with $\lambda_1\phi_1 = \omega_2$, $\lambda_2\phi_2 = \mathcal{L}$. Now $\phi_2\lambda_1 = 0$ since $\lambda_2\phi_2\lambda_1\phi_1 = \mathcal{L}\omega_2 = 0$. Thus we have $\theta: I\omega_2 \rightarrow K\mathcal{L}$ with $\rho_2\theta = \lambda_1$. θ is a monomorphism.

We must show that θ is also an epimorphism. To this end, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & K\alpha_2 & \xrightarrow{=} & K\alpha_2 & \longrightarrow & \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \mu_2 \downarrow & & \mu_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & E_2 & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}_2} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2\delta_2} & I\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \bar{\delta}_2 \downarrow & & \delta_2 \downarrow & & \parallel \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & K\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & \text{Ker } d_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & I\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset \end{array}$$

with exact rows and columns. Since $\pi_1 \iota_2 \bar{\psi}_2 = \mathcal{L} \delta_2 \bar{\psi}_2 = \mathcal{L} \rho_2 \bar{\delta}_2 = 0$, we have $\iota_2 \bar{\psi}_2 = \kappa_1 u$ where $u: E_2 \rightarrow Id_1$. We claim that u is an epimorphism, for $\kappa_1 u \bar{\mu}_2 = \iota_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\mu}_2 = \iota_2 \mu_2 = \kappa_1 \eta_2$ implies that $u \bar{\mu}_2 = \eta_2$. We shall establish a map $\bar{r}: \text{Ker } d \rightarrow E_2$ and prove that $\emptyset \rightarrow \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} E_2 \xrightarrow{u} Id_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact.

Since $\tau_2 \alpha_2 \sigma = 0$, $\sigma = \psi_2 r$ where $r: \text{Ker } d \rightarrow D_2$. But $\delta_1 \lambda_2 \phi_2 \delta_2 r = \psi_1 d \psi_2 r = \psi_1 d \sigma = 0$, hence $\phi_2 \delta_2 r = 0$ and $r = \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{r}$. Now consider

$$\emptyset \rightarrow Ku \xrightarrow{\sigma_u} E_2 \xrightarrow{u} Id_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

exact. $\beta_1 \kappa_1 u \bar{r} = \beta_1 \iota_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{r} = d \psi_2 r = d \sigma = 0$, hence $u \bar{r} = 0$ and $\bar{r} = \sigma_u \Omega$ where $\Omega: \text{Ker } d \rightarrow Ku$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &\rightarrow \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} E_2 \xrightarrow{v} F_{\bar{r}} \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow E_2 \xrightarrow{\psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2} Z' \xrightarrow{s} F \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset &\rightarrow \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z' \xrightarrow{\tau} Id \rightarrow \emptyset, \end{aligned}$$

exact with $\sigma = \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \bar{r}$, hence

$$\emptyset \rightarrow F_{\bar{r}} \xrightarrow{n} Id \xrightarrow{m} F \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

is exact with $nv = \tau \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2$.

$$\kappa n v \sigma_u = \kappa \tau \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \sigma_u = d \psi_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \sigma_u = \beta_1 \iota_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \sigma_u = \beta_1 \kappa_1 u \sigma_u = 0$$

implies that $v \sigma_u = 0$, and we obtain $\Omega': Ku \rightarrow \text{Ker } d$ with $\bar{r} \Omega' = \sigma_u$. It is trivial to show that $\Omega' = \Omega^{-1}$. Thus our assertion that $\emptyset \rightarrow \text{Ker } d \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} E_2 \xrightarrow{u} Id_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact is proved.

We next study the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & K\eta_2 & \rightarrow & K\alpha_2 & \xrightarrow{\eta_2} & Id_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\mu}_2 & & \downarrow \parallel \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & \text{Ker } d & \xrightarrow{\bar{r}} & E_2 & \xrightarrow{u} & Id_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_2 \bar{r} & & \downarrow \bar{\delta}_2 & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & K\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{=} & K\mathcal{L} & \rightarrow & \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset \end{array}$$

and deduce that the left column is exact, in particular, that $\bar{\delta}_2 \bar{r}$ is an epimorphism. We show that $\theta \phi_1 = \bar{\delta}_2 \bar{r}$:

$$\sigma_2 \rho_2 \theta \phi_1 = \sigma_2 \lambda_1 \phi_1 = \sigma_2 \omega_2 = \alpha_2 \sigma = \alpha_2 \psi_2 \bar{r} = \sigma_2 \delta_2 \bar{r} = \sigma_2 \rho_2 \bar{\delta}_2 \bar{r}.$$

Hence $\theta \phi_1 = \bar{\delta}_2 \bar{r}$ and θ is thereby an epimorphism.

For the remaining lemmas, we shall make use of the following diagram

$$(V) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & A & \xrightarrow{\rho^0} & A^2 \xrightarrow{\rho^1} A^1 \\ \phi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_2 & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi^2 \downarrow \phi^1 \\ & & \lambda_1 & & \lambda_0 & & \lambda^0 \downarrow \lambda^1 \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\lambda_0} & B & \xrightarrow{\lambda^0} & B^2 \xrightarrow{\lambda^1} B^1 \end{array}$$

which is commutative and has exact rows.

THEOREM 5.9 (FIVE LEMMA). *Let ϕ_1 be an epimorphism, ϕ^2 a monomorphism. Then $\text{Ker } \phi \approx \text{Im } \rho_0 \sigma(\phi_2)$ where $\sigma(\phi_2): \text{Ker } \phi_2 \rightarrow A_2$.*

COROLLARY 5.10. *Let ϕ_1 be an epimorphism, ϕ_2 and ϕ^2 monomorphisms. Then ϕ is a monomorphism.*

COROLLARY 5.11. *Let ϕ_1 be an epimorphism, ϕ^1 a monomorphism, and let ϕ_2 and ϕ^2 be equivalences. Then ϕ is an equivalence.*

PART II. HOMOLOGY IN GRADED CATEGORIES

1. Abstract homology. Throughout this section, we shall be working with objects and maps in a fixed G -graded category. The degree of a mapping will take on more significance here than in the last chapter, and will be given more consideration. Henceforth, we shall write $\mathcal{A}$ for $(\mathcal{A}, G)$.

DEFINITION. A pair (A, d) , $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $d \in H_g(A, A)$, will be called a g -derivation or an *object with differentiation* if $d^2 = 0$.

Let us now consider a g -derivation (A, d) and the consequent factorization of $A \rightarrow^d A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & Z & \xrightarrow{\tau} & A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & B \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & & & \theta & & \\ & & & & B & \xrightarrow{\theta} & B' \\ & & & & \kappa & & \pi \\ \emptyset & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & B' & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z' \rightarrow \emptyset. \end{array}$$

We recall that $\sigma, \tau, \kappa, \pi$ are of degree zero, and θ is of degree g . We must also keep in mind the fact that this factorization is unique only up to a transitive family of equivalences of degree zero (viz. Part I, 1.2).

We can obtain a unique map $\omega: B' \rightarrow Z$ of degree zero such that $\sigma \omega = \kappa$ for $(\kappa \theta)(\tau \kappa)(\theta \tau) = (\kappa \theta \tau)(\kappa \theta \tau) = d^2 = 0$ implies $\tau \kappa = 0$.

Similarly, we can obtain a unique map $\phi: Z' \rightarrow B$ of degree zero such that

$\phi\pi=\tau$. Also, we have the map $\pi\sigma: Z \rightarrow Z'$ of degree zero, and so we have the sequence

$$(1) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow B' \xrightarrow{\omega} Z \xrightarrow{\pi\sigma} Z' \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow \emptyset.$$

LEMMA 1.1. *The sequence (1) is exact.*

Since $\pi\sigma$ is of degree zero, we may choose as its canonical factorization

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \rightarrow B' &\xrightarrow{\omega} Z \xrightarrow{\lambda} H \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset \rightarrow H &\xrightarrow{\zeta} Z' \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow \emptyset, \end{aligned} \quad \zeta\lambda = \pi\sigma.$$

Let us consider the map $D = \omega\theta\phi: Z' \rightarrow Z$. D is a mapping of degree g . It is quite clear that

$$(2) \quad \text{Ker } D = H = \text{Coker } D \text{ or}$$

$$(3) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\zeta} Z' \xrightarrow{D} Z \xrightarrow{\lambda} H \rightarrow \emptyset \text{ is exact.}$$

DEFINITION. The pair (Z, Z') is called the *cycles* of (A, d) , denoted sometimes by $Z(A)$, $Z'(A)$. The pair (B, B') is called the *boundaries* of (A, d) , denoted by $B(A)$, $B'(A)$. The object H will be called the *homology* of (A, d) , denoted by $H(A)$.

When there is no ambiguity, we shall write Z instead of $Z(A)$, etc.

The self-duality of the definition of $H(A)$ may be stated in terms of the dual object (A^*, d^*) in the category $\mathcal{A}^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A^*) &= [Z'(A)]^*, & B(A^*) &= [B'(A)]^*, \\ Z'(A^*) &= [Z(A)]^*, & B'(A^*) &= [B(A)]^*, \\ H(A^*) &= [H(A)]^*. \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION. A mapping $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (\bar{A}, \bar{d})$ of g -derivations is a mapping $f \in H_h(A, \bar{A})$ such that $fd = \bar{d}f$.

It will suffice to consider mappings of degree zero. There is nothing to be gained by treating the more general case.

Let $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (\bar{A}, \bar{d})$ be a mapping. Then we have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset \rightarrow Z & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & B & \rightarrow & \emptyset \\ & \downarrow z & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow b & & \\ \emptyset \rightarrow \bar{Z} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & \bar{B} & \rightarrow & \emptyset, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset \rightarrow B' & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z' & \rightarrow & \emptyset \\ & \downarrow b' & \downarrow f & & \downarrow z' & & \\ \emptyset \rightarrow \bar{B}' & \xrightarrow{\bar{\kappa}} & \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & \bar{Z}' & \rightarrow & \emptyset, \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & H & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Z' & \xrightarrow{D} & Z \xrightarrow{\lambda} H \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & & f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow z' & & \downarrow z \\
 \emptyset & \rightarrow & \bar{H} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\zeta}} & \bar{Z}' & \xrightarrow{\bar{D}} & \bar{Z} \xrightarrow{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{H} \rightarrow \emptyset,
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \theta & \\
 B & \rightarrow & B' \\
 b \downarrow & & \downarrow b' \\
 & \bar{\theta} & \\
 \bar{B} & \rightarrow & \bar{B}'
 \end{array}$$

DEFINITION. We shall say that the sequence of g -derivations

$$\emptyset \rightarrow (A_1, d_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (A, d) \xrightarrow{\beta} (A_2, d_2) \rightarrow \emptyset$$

is exact if

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

is exact. (α and β are assumed to be maps of derivations.)

THEOREM 1.2. Let $\emptyset \rightarrow (A_1, d_1) \xrightarrow{\alpha} (A, d) \xrightarrow{\beta} (A_2, d_2) \rightarrow \emptyset$ be an exact sequence of g -derivations. Then there exists a map $\partial: H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that the sequence

$$(4) \quad H_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} H \xrightarrow{\beta_*} H_2$$

is exact. The map ∂ has degree g .

Proof. This follows from Part I, 5.8 and the obvious exactness of $Z'_1 \rightarrow {}^i_1 Z'_1 \rightarrow {}^i_2 Z'_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$ and $\emptyset \rightarrow Z_1 \rightarrow {}^i_1 Z \rightarrow {}^i_2 Z_2$.

The usual propositions about homology can now be proved easily. However, for the sake of completeness, we make the following

DEFINITION. $f, g: (A, d) \rightarrow (\bar{A}, \bar{d})$ are *chain homotopic*, $f \simeq_g$, if there exists a mapping $s: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ of degree minus (degree of d) such that

$$\bar{d}s + sd = f - g.$$

It is well known that chain homotopy is an equivalence relation.

2. Complexes.

DEFINITION. A *complex* in $\mathcal{A}$ is a sequence

$$A: \dots \rightarrow A^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} A^n \xrightarrow{d^n} A^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

with $d^n d^{n-1} = 0$. (We are assuming, now, that we are in an exact category. Otherwise we would require that the degrees of all the d^k be zero.)

As usual, we may define $Z^n = \text{Ker } d^n$, $B^n = \text{Im } d^{n-1}$, $B'^n = \text{Coim } d^{n-1}$, $Z'^n = \text{Coker } d^n$.

For each n , we have the exact sequence

$$(1^n) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow B'^n \xrightarrow{\omega^n} Z^n \xrightarrow{\pi^n \sigma^n} Z'^n \xrightarrow{\phi^n} B^n \rightarrow \emptyset$$

and $H^n = \text{Coker } \omega^n = \text{Ker } \phi^n$.

DEFINITION. A mapping $f: A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ of one complex into another is a sequence of maps $\{f^n\}$ where $f^n: A^n \rightarrow \bar{A}^n$ and $\bar{d}^n f^n = f^{n+1} d^n$.

The usual formal facts about homology for complexes may be obtained here.

3. Construction of graded categories. In this section, we shall give two constructions of categories. The first will correspond to the category of objects with differentiation $d(\mathcal{A}, G)$ in a g -graded category $(\mathcal{A}, G)$; the second will be the construction of a g -graded category starting with an exact category $\mathcal{A}$ and an abelian group G ; this will correspond to the category of complexes over an exact category.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, G)$ be a G -graded category. We define the objects of $d(\mathcal{A}, G)$ to be pairs (A, d) where (A, d) is a g -derivation. The zero object is $(\emptyset, 0)$. $H_h((A_1, d_1), (A_2, d_2))$ is the group of all maps $f: (A_1, d_1) \rightarrow (A_2, d_2)$ of degree h and $H_{h_2}((A_2, d_2), (A_3, d_3)) \otimes H_{h_1}((A_1, d_1), (A_2, d_2)) \rightarrow H_{h_1+h_2}((A_1, d_1), (A_3, d_3))$ is the usual composition of maps. It is easy to see that $d(\mathcal{A}, G)$ is a G -graded category.

This construction essentially parallels the construction of the category of modules over the ring of dual numbers $\Gamma = (\Lambda, d)$ obtained from the category of modules over the ring Λ .

For our second construction, we let $\mathcal{A}$ be an exact category, G an abelian group. Our objects are defined to be functions A from G to the objects of $\mathcal{A}$. The zero object, $\emptyset$, is the function $\emptyset(g) = \emptyset$ all $g \in G$. For each $g' \in G$, and each pair of objects A, B , we consider functions α defined on G such that $\alpha(g) \in H(A(g), B(g+g'))$. We define addition in the obvious way and thus turn the set of such α 's into an abelian group $H_g(A, B)$. The composition of two such maps is defined in the natural way.

It is easy to verify that we again have a G -graded category.

The usual case occurs when g is the group Z of integers. In that case, the 1-derivations are precisely the complexes of §2.

4. Functors and homology. Throughout this paper, by the term "functor" we shall mean an additive functor [1]. We are varying from the standard use of the term here [2] because of the additional additive structure of an exact category. In this section we are considering only functors of one variable (see also Part III, §3).

DEFINITION. A connected sequence of covariant functors $T = \{T^n\}$ is a family of functors such that for every exact sequence

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow \emptyset,$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\emptyset \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{\eta} B \xrightarrow{\zeta} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\rho} C \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{\rho_2} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} B \xrightarrow{\rho_2 \zeta} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} B \xrightarrow{\rho_2 \zeta} C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} K \xrightarrow{v_1} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{u_2} K \xrightarrow{v_2} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\bar{\sigma} u_1 = \eta & \bar{\sigma} u_2 = \kappa \\
\zeta \bar{\sigma} = \kappa_2 v_1 & \rho \bar{\sigma} = \alpha v_2 \\
\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{u_2} K \xrightarrow{v_2} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow B_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} K \xrightarrow{v_1} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\lambda} A \xrightarrow{\mu} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{u_2 \lambda} K \xrightarrow{\pi} F \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{u_2 \lambda} K \xrightarrow{\pi} F \rightarrow \emptyset \\
\emptyset \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{u_3} F \xrightarrow{v_3} C_1 \rightarrow \emptyset & \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{u_4} F \xrightarrow{v_4} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\
u_3 \mu = \pi u_2 & u_4 \rho_1 = \pi u_1 \\
v_3 \pi = v_2 & v_4 \pi = v_1.
\end{array}$$

It can be easily verified that $v_4 u_3 = e_{A_2}$, $v_3 u_4 = e_{C_1}$.

Now consider R of Part I, 5.5. We have $d = u_4 m_3 + u_3 m_4$: $R \rightarrow F$. d is an equivalence.

The following diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_2 & \xrightarrow{n_3 \theta^{-1}} R & \xrightarrow{m_4} A_2 \\
\parallel & & \downarrow d \\
A_2 & \xrightarrow{u_3} F & \xrightarrow{v_4} A_2
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
C_1 & \xrightarrow{n_4} R & \xrightarrow{m_3} C_1 \\
\parallel & & \downarrow d \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{u_4} F & \xrightarrow{v_3} C_1.
\end{array}$$

We now proceed with the proof of the theorem. First we show that $n_3 \theta^{-1} m_4 + n_4 m_3 = e_R$; $u_3 v_4 + u_4 v_3 = e_F$.

Let $n_3 \theta^{-1} m_4 + n_4 m_3 + \chi = e_R$. Then $\chi n_4 = 0$, so $\chi = \chi' m_4$. Thus $(\chi' + n_3 \theta^{-1}) m_4 + n_4 m_3 = e_R$ and $\chi' + n_3 \theta^{-1} = n_3 \theta^{-1}$. Hence $\chi' = 0$ and $\chi = 0$. Similarly $u_3 v_4 + u_4 v_3 = e_F$.

The commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{\sigma} F(B, A_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta m_2} C_2 \\
m_3 \downarrow & & \downarrow m_2 \\
C_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} C & \xrightarrow{\beta} C_2
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \xrightarrow{\sigma} F(B, A_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta m_2} C_2 \\
m_4 \downarrow & & \downarrow m_1 \\
A_2 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} B_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} C_2
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & A \xrightarrow{\mu} A_2 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow u_2 \quad \downarrow u_3 \\
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2 \lambda} & K \xrightarrow{\pi} F
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} & B_1 \xrightarrow{\rho_1} C_1 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow u_1 \quad \downarrow u_4 \\
 A_1 & \xrightarrow{u_2 \lambda} & K \xrightarrow{\pi} F
 \end{array}$$

and the connectedness of T will yield

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & T^n(R) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow T^n(m_3) \\
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} & T^n(C_1)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & T^n(R) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow T^n(m_4) \\
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & T^n(A_2)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(A_2) & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & T^{n+1}(A_1) \\
 \downarrow T^n(u_3) & & \parallel \\
 T^n(F) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & T^{n+1}(A_1)
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(C_1) & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & T^{n+1}(A_1) \\
 \downarrow T^n(u_4) & & \parallel \\
 T^n(F) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & T^{n+1}(A_1)
 \end{array}$$

commutative.

$\psi_1\phi_1 + \psi_2\phi_2 = \bar{\psi}T^n(d)\bar{\phi}$. Hence we must merely show that $\bar{\psi}T^n(d)\bar{\phi} = 0$. Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & B & \xrightarrow{\rho_2 \bar{\sigma}} & C_2 \longrightarrow \emptyset \\
 & & \downarrow d^{-1}\pi & & \downarrow \tau & & \parallel \\
 \emptyset & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F(B, A_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta m_2} & C_2 \longrightarrow \emptyset
 \end{array}$$

The right box is commutative. If we show that the left box is commutative, we shall obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & T^n(K) \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow T^n(d^{-1})T^n(\pi) \\
 T^{n-1}(C_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & T^n(R)
 \end{array}$$

commutative. But then $\bar{\psi}T^n(d)\bar{\phi} = \bar{\psi}T^n(\pi)\bar{f} = 0$.

Therefore we shall show that $\sigma d^{-1}\pi = \tau \bar{\sigma}$. Since $\bar{\sigma}u_2\lambda = \eta\kappa_1$, we have a unique map $q: F \rightarrow F(B, A_1)$ such that $q\pi = \tau \bar{\sigma}$. Now $\beta m_2 q \pi = \beta m_2 \tau \bar{\sigma} = \beta \rho \bar{\sigma} = \beta \alpha v_2 = 0$, hence $\beta m_2 q = 0$ and $q = \sigma d'$, $d': F \rightarrow R$.

$$\sigma d' u_3 \mu = q \pi u_2 = \tau \bar{\sigma} u_2 = \tau \kappa = n_3 \theta^{-1} \mu = \sigma n_3 \theta^{-1} \mu.$$

Hence $d' u_3 = n_3 \theta^{-1}$. Also $d' u_4 = n_4$.

Let $dd' = e_F + \chi$. Then, $dd'u_3 = u_3 + \chi u_3$ and $dd'u_3 = dn_3\theta^{-1} = u_3$. Thus, $\chi u_3 = 0$, and $\chi = \chi'v_3$. We have, then, $dd' = e_F + \chi'v_3$. Now

$$u_4 = dn_4 = dd'u_4 = u_4 + \chi'v_3u_4 = u_4 + \chi'.$$

Hence $\chi' = 0$ and $\chi = 0$. Therefore $dd' = e_F$ and $d' = d^{-1}$.

Since $\sigma d^{-1}\pi = \sigma d'\pi = q\pi = \tau\bar{\sigma}$, the proof is complete.

Let (A, d) be an object with differentiation, and let T be a covariant functor. Consider the commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & H \\ \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\ A & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Z' \end{array}$$

Now Z , Z' , and H can be considered objects with differentiation, the differentiation being zero. Under those conditions, all the maps in (2) are maps of objects with differentiation. Also, we see that $H(T(Z)) = T(Z)$, $H(T(H)) = T(H)$, $H(T(Z')) = T(Z')$. Let us consider the induced commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T(Z) & \xrightarrow{T(\lambda)} & T(H) \\ \Sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow T(\zeta) \\ H(T(A)) & \xrightarrow{\Pi} & T(Z') \end{array}$$

where $\Sigma = [T(\sigma)]_*$, $\Pi = [T(\pi)]_*$.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let T be right exact (see Part III, 3). Then there exists a unique map $\alpha: T(H) \rightarrow H(T(A))$ such that $\alpha T(\lambda) = \Sigma$ and $\Pi\alpha = T(\zeta)$. α is natural relative to maps $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ and is the identity on objects with zero differentiation. Furthermore, these last two properties characterize α completely.*

Proof. Since T is right exact,

$$T(B') \xrightarrow{T(\omega)} T(Z) \xrightarrow{T(\lambda)} T(H) \rightarrow \emptyset$$

is exact. We must show that $\Sigma T(\omega) = 0$. It is easy to see that $\Sigma T(\omega) = [T(\kappa)]_*$. Also, since T is right exact, $T(\theta\tau)$ is an epimorphism. Now we have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B') & \xlongequal{\quad} & T(B') \\ T(\kappa) \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ T(A) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & Z'(T(A)) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T(B') & \xlongequal{\quad} & T(B') \\ [T(\kappa)]_* \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ H(T(A)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Z'(T(A)) \end{array}$$

$u(T(\kappa))_* = nT(\kappa)$, thus $u(T(\kappa))_* T(\theta\tau) = nT(d) = 0$, since $Z'(T(A)) = \text{Coker } T(\bar{d})$. Therefore $[T(\kappa)]_* = 0 = \Sigma T(\omega)$. Thus we have a map $\alpha: T(H) \rightarrow H(T(A))$ with $\alpha T(\lambda) = \Sigma$. To show that $\Pi\alpha = T(\xi)$, we observe that $\Pi\alpha T(\lambda) = \Pi\Sigma = T(\xi)T(\lambda)$, and in view of the fact that $T(\lambda)$ is an epimorphism, we have $\Pi\alpha = T(\xi)$.

Suppose we have $f: (A, d) \rightarrow (\bar{A}, \bar{d})$. We must show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(H(A)) & \xrightarrow{T(f_*)} & T(H(\bar{A})) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{\alpha} \\ HT(A) & \xrightarrow{[T(f)]_*} & HT(\bar{A}) \end{array}$$

is commutative.

$$\begin{aligned} u[T(f)]_* \alpha T(\lambda) &= u[T(f)]_* \Sigma \\ u\bar{\alpha} T(f_*) T(\lambda) &= u\bar{\alpha} T(\bar{\lambda}) T(z) = u[T(\bar{\sigma})]_* T(z) \\ &= nT(\bar{\sigma}) T(z) = nT(f) T(\sigma) = u[T(f)]_* [T(\sigma)]_* = u[T(f)]_* \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Let A have zero differentiation. Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(A) & \xrightarrow{=} & T(A) \\ \parallel \downarrow & & \downarrow \parallel \\ T(A) & \xrightarrow{=} & T(A) \end{array}$$

and α is obviously the identity.

To prove that these properties completely characterize α , suppose $\alpha, \beta: T(H(A)) \rightarrow H(T(A))$ both are natural and are the identity on objects with zero differentiation. Then $\alpha T(\lambda) = \Sigma = \beta T(\lambda)$ implies $\alpha = \beta$.

PART III. DERIVED FUNCTORS

1. Direct sums. Up to this point, we have carefully avoided using direct sums (although Part I, 5.5 and Part II, 4.1 certainly indicate their use). As yet, we have found no efficient way of defining infinite direct sums and products in an arbitrary exact category $\mathcal{A}$.

DEFINITION. A family of maps

$$A_\alpha \xrightarrow{l_\alpha} A \xrightarrow{p_\alpha} A_\alpha,$$

where α belongs to a finite set of indices, is a *direct sum representation* of A if

$$p_\alpha l_\alpha = e_{A_\alpha}, \quad p_\beta l_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \beta \neq \alpha, \quad \sum_\alpha l_\alpha p_\alpha = e_A.$$

This definition does not guarantee the existence of a direct sum of given

summands. For this purpose we introduce

AXIOM V (Existence of direct sums). For any two objects $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, there is an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and maps

$$A_\alpha \xrightarrow{l_\alpha} A \xrightarrow{p_\alpha} A_\alpha, \quad \alpha = 1, 2,$$

which yield a direct sum representation of A .

This axiom is obviously self-dual.

We easily deduce that the direct sum of a finite number of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ exists in $\mathcal{A}$, and any two such are equivalent.

DEFINITION. We say the exact sequence $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$ right-splits if there is a map $\gamma: A_2 \rightarrow A$ such that $\beta\gamma = e_{A_2}$. We say the sequence left-splits if there is a $\delta: A \rightarrow A_1$ such that $\delta\alpha = e_{A_1}$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *The sequence $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$ right-splits if and only if it left-splits and in either case we can find maps such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A \xrightarrow{\delta} A_1, \\ A_2 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & A \xrightarrow{\beta} A_2 \end{array}$$

yield a direct sum representation of A .

REMARK. Referring to (D) of Part I, 5.5, we have (as was indicated at the end of that proof)

$\text{Ker}(\text{Coker}(\kappa\lambda) \rightarrow C_2)$ is the direct sum of C_1 and A_2 . So also is

$$\text{Coker}(A_1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\beta\rho)).$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. *Consider the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B & & \\ & \sigma \swarrow & & \searrow \tau & \\ C_1 & & & & C'_1 \\ & \beta_2 \swarrow & \downarrow \lambda & \searrow \beta_1 & \\ & & C & & \\ \theta_1 \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \theta_2 \\ C_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & C & \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} & C'_2 \\ & \searrow \gamma & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow \delta & \\ & & D & & \end{array}$$

with diagonals exact, θ_1, θ_2 equivalences, and $\mu\lambda = 0$. Then

$$C_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} C \xrightarrow{\theta_1^{-1}\beta_2} C_2, \quad C'_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} C \xrightarrow{\theta_2^{-1}\beta_1} C'_2$$

and

$$C_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1 \theta_1^{-1}} C \xrightarrow{\beta_2} C_1, \quad C'_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2 \theta_2^{-1}} C \xrightarrow{\beta_1} C'_1$$

yield direct sum representations of C . Furthermore

$$\gamma \theta_1^{-1} \sigma + \delta \theta_2^{-1} \tau = 0.$$

Let $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\beta_1} C \rightarrow \emptyset$, $\emptyset \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} B_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_2} C \rightarrow \emptyset$ be exact, and let

$$B_1 \xrightarrow{l_1} B_1 + B_2 \xrightarrow{p_1} B_1 \quad B_2 \xrightarrow{l_2} B_1 + B_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} B_2$$

be a direct sum representation. We obtain the map $\beta_1 p_1 - \beta_2 p_2: B_1 + B_2 \rightarrow C$ and the exact sequence

$$\emptyset \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\sigma} B_1 + B_2 \xrightarrow{\beta_1 p_1 - \beta_2 p_2} C \rightarrow \emptyset.$$

Since $(\beta_1 p_1 - \beta_2 p_2) l_j \alpha_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2$, we have $\kappa_1: A_1 \rightarrow R$, $\kappa_2: A_2 \rightarrow R$ with $\sigma \kappa_j = l_j \alpha_j$, $j = 1, 2$.

LEMMA 1.3. *The sequences*

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \xrightarrow{\kappa_1} R \xrightarrow{p_2 \sigma} B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset,$$

$$\emptyset \rightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{\kappa_2} R \xrightarrow{p_1 \sigma} B_1 \rightarrow \emptyset$$

are exact.

2. Projectives and injectives.

DEFINITION. An object $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is called *projective* if any diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} P \\ \downarrow f \\ A \xrightarrow{g} A'' \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array}$$

in which the row is exact may be imbedded in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} P \\ \swarrow h \quad \downarrow f \\ A \xrightarrow{g} A'' \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array}$$

We define $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ to be *injective* by duality.

For further work, we need the following axiom and its dual:

AXIOM VI (Existence of projectives). Given $A \in \mathcal{A}$, there is an epimorphism $P \rightarrow A$ with P projective.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *A direct sum of objects is projective if and only if each summand is projective.*

PROPOSITION 2.2. *In order that P be projective, it is necessary and sufficient that all exact sequences $\emptyset \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow P \rightarrow \emptyset$ split.*

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $\emptyset \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} A'' \rightarrow \emptyset$ be exact. Consider the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & M' & & M'' & \\
 & \downarrow \kappa' & & \downarrow \kappa'' & \\
 & P' & & P'' & \\
 & \downarrow \rho' & & \downarrow \rho'' & \\
 \emptyset \rightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} A'' \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset &
 \end{array}$$

with exact columns, P' and P'' projective. Then this diagram can be imbedded in the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \emptyset \rightarrow & M' & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M'' & \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \downarrow \kappa' & & \downarrow \kappa & & \downarrow \kappa'' & \\
 \emptyset \rightarrow & P' & \xrightarrow{l_1} & P & \xrightarrow{p_2} & P'' & \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \downarrow \rho' & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho'' & \\
 \emptyset \rightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A'' & \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \emptyset & & \emptyset & & \emptyset &
 \end{array}$$

in which rows and columns are exact, and the middle row splits (therefore P is projective).

Proof. We let $P = P' + P''$. Then

$$P' \xrightarrow{l_1} P \xrightarrow{p_1} P', \quad P'' \xrightarrow{l_2} P \xrightarrow{p_2} P''$$

is a direct sum representation of P . Since P'' is projective, we have $\gamma: P'' \rightarrow A$ with $\beta\gamma = \rho''$. Define $\rho = \alpha\rho'p_1 + \gamma p_2: P \rightarrow A$. Then $\rho l_1 = \alpha\rho'$, $\beta\rho = \rho''p_2$. ρ is an epimorphism, and the remainder of the proof is automatic, if we choose $M = \text{Ker } \rho$.

3. Functors of several variables. For $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$, we consider functors $T(A, C)$ covariant in A , contravariant in C , with values in $\mathcal{D}$, in the sense of [2]. Throughout we assume the functors additive, in that

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha + \beta, e_C) &= T(\alpha, e_C) + T(\beta, e_C), \\ T(e_A, \gamma + \delta) &= T(e_A, \gamma) + T(e_A, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be three exact categories.

Let

- (1) $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$,
- (2) $\emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$

be exact.

DEFINITION. A functor T is *exact* if for all exact sequences (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \rightarrow T(A_1, C) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A_2, C) \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ \emptyset \rightarrow T(A, C_2) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A, C_1) \rightarrow \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

are exact.

T is *right exact* if for all sequences (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} T(A_1, C) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A_2, C) \rightarrow \emptyset, \\ T(A, C_2) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A, C_1) \rightarrow \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

are exact.

Analogous definition for *left exact*.

T is *half exact* if for all sequences (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} T(A_1, C) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A_2, C), \\ T(A, C_2) \rightarrow T(A, C) \rightarrow T(A, C_1) \end{aligned}$$

are exact.

The usual discussion about composition of functors and preservation of exactness under composition [1, II, 5] applies. However, we single out two cases for special study.

Let D denote generically the functor which takes an exact category $\mathcal{A}$ into its dual $\mathcal{A}^*$. T is a functor.

We define T_* by

$$T_*(A, C^*) = T(A, D^{-1}(C^*)) = T(A, C).$$

Since $D, (D^{-1})$, is an exact, contravariant functor, T and T_* differ in variance on C but preserve the same type of exactness. We see that $(T_*)_* = T$ (more precisely, $(T_*)_*$ is naturally equivalent to T).

We define T^* by

$$T^*(A^*, C) = D[T(D^{-1}(A^*), C)].$$

T^* and T differ in their variance on C and also preserve opposite types of exactness (i.e., when T is left exact, T^* is right exact; T exact, T^* exact; etc.).

4. Satellites of functors.

DEFINITION. Let

$$\emptyset \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow \emptyset$$

be exact, with P projective. Let T be a covariant functor of one variable. The *left satellite* of T , denoted by $S_1T(A)$, is defined as $\text{Ker } (T(M) \rightarrow T(P))$.

It is shown in [1, III] that S_1T is independent (up to a transitive family of equivalences) of the choice of the sequence $\emptyset \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow A \rightarrow \emptyset$.

Now let $g: A \rightarrow A'$. We then have

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & P & \rightarrow & A \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & M' & \rightarrow & P' & \rightarrow & A' \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array}$$

with P and P' projective. But then we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \rightarrow & S_1T(A) & \rightarrow & T(M) & \rightarrow & T(P) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & S_1T(A') & \rightarrow & T(M') & \rightarrow & T(P') \end{array}$$

and we call the left-hand map $S_1T(g)$.

With these definitions, S_1T becomes a covariant functor.

DEFINITIONS. Let T be a covariant functor. We define the right satellite of T , S^1T , by

$$S^1T = (S_1T^*)^*,$$

i.e.,

$$S^1T(A) = D^{-1}(S_1T^*(D(A))).$$

Let T be a contravariant functor. Then T_* is covariant. We define

$$S_1T(A) = S_1T_*(A^*),$$

$$S^1T(A) = S^1T_*(A^*).$$

Due to these relationships, it is sufficient to consider only covariant functors.

DEFINITION.

$$S_nT = S_1(S_{n-1}T), \quad n > 1,$$

$$S^nT = S^1(S^{n-1}T), \quad n > 1.$$

Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \rightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \rho' \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho_2 \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

We have

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \rightarrow & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\iota} & P_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda & & \parallel \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset \end{array}$$

and therefore a map $\mathfrak{I}_1(e_{A_2}): S_1T(A_2) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(T(\alpha_1))$. We define

$$\theta_1 = \sigma_1 \mathfrak{I}_1(e_{A_2}): S_1T(A_2) \rightarrow T(A_1),$$

where $\sigma_1: \text{Ker}(T(\alpha_1)) \rightarrow T(A_1)$ is the "inclusion."

Dually, we obtain $\theta^1: T(A_2) \rightarrow S^1T(A_1)$. Thus we have

$$\theta_n: S_nT(A_2) \rightarrow S_{n-1}T(A_1),$$

$$\theta^n: S^{n-1}T(A_2) \rightarrow S^nT(A_1)$$

for $n > 0$ (we let $S_0T = S^0T = T$).

Consequently, we obtain the sequence

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{l} \cdots \rightarrow S_nT(A_1) \rightarrow S_nT(A) \rightarrow S_nT(A_2) \rightarrow S_{n-1}T(A_1) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \rightarrow S_1T(A_2) \rightarrow T(A_1) \rightarrow T(A) \rightarrow T(A_2) \\ \rightarrow S^1T(A_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S^{n-1}T(A_2) \rightarrow S^nT(A_1) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The sequence (4) is of order two.*

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The diagram (2) induces a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & S_1 T(A_2) & \xrightarrow{\theta_1} & T(A_1) & \longrightarrow & T(A) \longrightarrow T(A_2) \xrightarrow{\theta^1} S^1 T(A_1) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \downarrow S_1 T(\rho_2) & & \downarrow T(\rho_1) & & \downarrow T(\rho_2) & & \downarrow S^1 T(\rho_1) \\
\cdots & \longrightarrow & S_1 T(B_2) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}_1} & T(B_1) & \longrightarrow & T(B) \longrightarrow T(B_2) \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}^1} S^1 T(B_1) \longrightarrow \cdots
\end{array}$$

THEOREM 4.3. *Let T be a half-exact functor. Then the sequence (4) is exact.*

5. Axiomatic description of satellites.

DEFINITION. Let $T = \{T^n\}$, $U = \{U^n\}$ be connected sequences of functors (Part II, §4). A map $\Phi: T \rightarrow U$ is a family $\{\phi^n\}$ of natural transformations [2] $\phi^n: T^n \rightarrow U^n$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T^n(A_2) & \rightarrow & T^{n+1}(A_1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
U^n(A_2) & \rightarrow & U^{n+1}(A_1)
\end{array}$$

is commutative for every exact sequence $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$.

If T is a functor, by ST we mean the connected sequence of functors $S^n T$ where $S^n T = S^n T$ for $n \geq 0$, $S^n T = S_{-n} T$ for $n < 0$.

The axiomatic description of ST can be found in [1]. However, we shall prove here a more general theorem which is applicable as well in the theory of sheaves.

DEFINITION. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an exact category (Axioms I–IV), let $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q\}$ be a subclass of the class of objects of $\mathcal{A}$, and let $\phi: \{Q\} \rightarrow \{A\}$ be a (not necessarily single-valued) function from $\mathcal{Q}$ to the objects of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote the pair $(\mathcal{Q}, \phi)$ by $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$. We say that $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$ is ϕ -injective if every diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\emptyset \rightarrow \phi(Q_1) \rightarrow Q_1 & & \\
\downarrow & & Q_i \in \mathcal{Q} \\
\emptyset \rightarrow \phi(Q_2) \rightarrow Q_2 & &
\end{array}$$

with exact rows can be imbedded in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\emptyset \rightarrow \phi(Q_1) \rightarrow Q_1 & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\emptyset \rightarrow \phi(Q_2) \rightarrow Q_2 & &
\end{array}$$

We say a ϕ -injective class is ϕ -complete if ϕ is onto and for each $A \in \phi(\mathcal{Q})$, there is a monomorphism $A \rightarrow Q$.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$ be a ϕ -complete ϕ -injective class in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $T = \{T^n\}$ be a positive (i.e., $T^n = 0$ for $n < 0$) connected sequence of functors such that for every exact sequence*

$$(1) \quad \emptyset \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q \rightarrow N \rightarrow \emptyset \quad Q \in \mathfrak{Q}, A \in \phi(Q)$$

the sequence

$$(2) \quad T^n(Q) \rightarrow T^n(N) \rightarrow T^{n+1}(A) \rightarrow \emptyset \quad n \geq 0$$

is exact. Suppose, also, that $U = \{U^n\}$ is a positive connected sequence of functors and $\phi^0: T^0 \rightarrow U^0$ is a natural transformation. Then there exists a unique extension $\Phi: T \rightarrow U$ of ϕ^0 .

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . Suppose $\phi^n: T^n \rightarrow U^n$ has been defined. We consider an exact sequence of type (1) and obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^n(Q) & \rightarrow & T^n(N) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T^{n+1}(A) \rightarrow \emptyset \\ \phi^n(Q) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^n(N) & & \\ U^n(Q) & \rightarrow & U^n(N) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & U^{n+1}(A) \end{array}$$

commutative. Since the top row is exact, and the bottom row is of order two, we obtain a unique map $\phi^{n+1}(A): T^{n+1}(A) \rightarrow U^{n+1}(A)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \phi^{n+1}(A)\partial = \bar{\partial}\phi^n(N).$$

This map appears to depend upon the sequence (1) which was originally chosen. Without changing notation, we shall consider $\phi^{n+1}(A)$ as a function of (1) as well as of A .

Let $f: A \rightarrow B$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^{n+1}(A) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{n+1}(A)} & U^{n+1}(A) \\ T^{n+1}(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow U^{n+1}(f) \\ T^{n+1}(B) & \xrightarrow{\phi^{n+1}(B)} & U^{n+1}(B) \end{array}$$

is commutative, i.e., ϕ^{n+1} is natural.

We choose any sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \emptyset & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & Q & \xrightarrow{\beta} & N \rightarrow \emptyset \\ & & f \downarrow & & & & \\ \emptyset & \rightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}} & \bar{Q} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} & \bar{N} \rightarrow \emptyset. \end{array}$$

Then we have $f': Q \rightarrow \bar{Q}$ with $f'\alpha = \bar{\alpha}f$, and a unique $f'': N \rightarrow \bar{N}$ with $\beta f' = f''\beta$. We therefore have the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
T^n(N) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T^{n+1}(A) & & \\
T^n(f'') \downarrow & & \downarrow T^{n+1}(f) & & \\
T^n(\bar{N}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & T^{n+1}(B) & & T^n(N) \xrightarrow{T^n(f'')} T^n(\bar{N}) \\
\phi^n(\bar{N}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{n+1}(B) & & \phi^n(N) \downarrow & \downarrow \phi^n(\bar{N}) \\
U^n(\bar{N}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & U^{n+1}(B) & & U^n(N) \xrightarrow{U^n(f'')} U^n(\bar{N}) \\
U^n(f'') \uparrow & & \uparrow U^{n+1}(f) & & \\
U^n(N) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & U^{n+1}(A) & &
\end{array}$$

the second diagram being commutative by our induction hypothesis. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi^{n+1}(B)T^{n+1}(f)\partial &= \phi^{n+1}(B)\partial T^n(f'') = \bar{\partial}\phi^n(\bar{N})T^n(f''), \\
U^{n+1}(f)\phi^{n+1}(A)\partial &= U^{n+1}(f)\bar{\partial}\phi^n(N) = \bar{\partial}U^n(f'')\phi^n(N).
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand sides being equal, and ∂ being an epimorphism, the desired result follows.

By choosing $A=B$, we see that ϕ^{n+1} is independent of the choice of the sequence (1).

If $\emptyset \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} A'' \rightarrow \emptyset$ is exact, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & T^{n+1}(A') \\
\phi^n(A'') \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{n+1}(A') \\
U^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}'} & U^{n+1}(A')
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let $\emptyset \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\gamma} Q \xrightarrow{\delta} N \rightarrow \emptyset$ be exact. Then we have $\emptyset \rightarrow A' \xrightarrow{\alpha\gamma} Q \xrightarrow{\tau} N' \rightarrow \emptyset$ exact and we obtain the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\emptyset & \rightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A'' \rightarrow \emptyset \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \lambda \\
\emptyset & \rightarrow & A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha\gamma} & Q & \xrightarrow{\tau} & N' \rightarrow \emptyset.
\end{array}$$

Consider the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{\partial'} & T^{n+1}(A') \\
 T^n(\lambda) \downarrow & & \downarrow \parallel \\
 T^n(N') & \xrightarrow{\partial''} & T^{n+1}(A') \\
 \phi^n(N') \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^{n+1}(A') \\
 U^n(N') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}''} & U^{n+1}(A') \\
 U^n(\lambda) \uparrow & & \uparrow \parallel \\
 U^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}'} & U^{n+1}(A')
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 T^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{T^n(\lambda)} & T^n(N') \\
 \phi^n(A'') \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi^n(N') \\
 U^n(A'') & \xrightarrow{U^n(\lambda)} & U^n(N')
 \end{array}$$

Then

$$\bar{\partial}'\phi^n(A'') = \bar{\partial}''U^n(\lambda)\phi^n(A'') = \bar{\partial}''\phi^n(N')T^n(\lambda) = \phi^{n+1}(A')\partial''T^n(\lambda) = \phi^{n+1}(A')\partial'.$$

6. Derived functors.

DEFINITION. A *doubly* (multiply) *graded* or *double* (multiple) *complex* is a sequence $\{A^{p,q}\}$ of objects together with two sequences $\{d_1^{p,q}\}$, $\{d_2^{p,q}\}$ of maps $d_1^{p,q}: A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p+1,q}$, $d_2^{p,q}: A^{p,q} \rightarrow A^{p,q+1}$ such that $d_1^{p+1,q}d_1^{p,q} = 0 = d_2^{p,q+1}d_2^{p,q}$, and $d_1^{p,q+1}d_2^{p,q} = d_2^{p+1,q}d_1^{p,q}$. Furthermore, for each n , all but a finite number of $A^{p,q}$ for which $p+q=n$ are different from zero.

Because of this last condition, we can define the associated singly graded complex in the usual way. The standard definitions of maps and homotopies can easily be made and the consequences in the associated complex deduced.

DEFINITIONS. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By a *left complex* over A , we mean a complex

$$(1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow A_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset \rightarrow \cdots$$

By an *acyclic* left complex over A , we mean a complex (1) such that the sequence (1) is exact.

By a *projective* left complex over A , we mean a complex (1) where each A_q is projective.

By a *projective resolution* of A , we mean a projective, acyclic left complex over A .

Replacing "left" by "right," and "projective" by "injective," we obtain dual definitions.

The existence of projective resolutions of any object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is ensured by Axiom VI.

DEFINITION. Let X, X' be left complexes over A and C respectively and let $f: A \rightarrow C$. A map $F: X \rightarrow X'$ is a map *over* f if

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_0 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & A \\
 F_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 X'_0 & \xrightarrow{\epsilon'} & C
 \end{array}$$

is commutative.

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let X be a projective left complex over A , and X' an acyclic left complex over C . Let $f: A \rightarrow C$. Then we can find a map $F: X \rightarrow X'$ over f . Furthermore, any two such maps $F_1, F_2: X \rightarrow X'$ over f are chain homotopic.*

Let T be a covariant functor of two variables. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$, X, X' projective resolutions of A, C respectively. Then we get a double complex $T(X, X')$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{p,q}(X, X') &= T(X_p, X'_q), \\
 d_{p,q}^1 &= T(d_p, e_{X'_q}), \\
 d_{p,q}^2 &= T(e_{X_p}, d_q).
 \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION. The n th left-derived functor, $L_n T(A, C)$ is $H_n(T(X, X'))$, where $H_n(T(X, X'))$ is the n th homology of the singly graded complex associated with the double complex $T(X, X')$.

PROPOSITION 6.2. $L_n T(A, C)$ is independent (up to a transitive family of equivalences) of the choice of resolutions X, X' .

Let $\alpha: A \rightarrow A', \gamma: C \rightarrow C'$. Then in the natural way, we have a map

$$L_n T(\alpha, \gamma): L_n T(A, C) \rightarrow L_n T(A', C').$$

Therefore, $L_n T$ is a functor of two variables.

THEOREM 6.3. *Let $\emptyset \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow \emptyset, \emptyset \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow \emptyset$ be exact. Then we have sequences*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \cdots \rightarrow L_n T(A_1, C) &\rightarrow L_n T(A, C) \rightarrow L_n T(A_2, C) \rightarrow L_{n-1} T(A_1, C) \rightarrow \cdots \\
 &\rightarrow L_0 T(A_1, C) \rightarrow L_0 T(A, C) \rightarrow L_0 T(A_2, C) \rightarrow \emptyset \\
 &\rightarrow L_n T(A, C_1) \rightarrow L_n T(A, C) \rightarrow L_n T(A, C_2) \rightarrow L_{n-1} T(A, C_1) \rightarrow \cdots \\
 &\rightarrow L_0 T(A, C_1) \rightarrow L_0 T(A, C) \rightarrow L_0 T(A, C_2) \rightarrow \emptyset
 \end{aligned}$$

which are exact [1].

DEFINITION. Let T be a covariant functor of two variables. Then $T^*(A^*, C^*) = [T(A, C)]^*$ is also covariant. Define

$$R^n T(A, C) = [L_n T^*(A^*, C^*)]^*.$$

$R^n T$ is called the n th right derived functor of T . Its formal properties can be

deduced from the formal properties of $L_n T$.

Analyzing this definition, we see that X^* , X'^* are projective resolutions of A^* , C^* if and only if X and X' are injective resolutions of A and C . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R^n T(A, C) &= [L_n T^*(A^*, C^*)]^* = [H_n(T^*(X^*, X'^*))]^* \\ &= [H_n((T(X, X'))^*)]^* = H^n(T(X, X')), \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the definition of $R^n T(A, C)$ given in [1].

DEFINITION. Let T be a functor, covariant in A , contravariant in C . Then $T_*(A, C^*) = T(A, C)$ is covariant in A and C^* . Define

$$\begin{aligned} L_n T(A, C) &= L_n T_*(A, C^*), \\ R^n T(A, C) &= R^n T_*(A, C^*). \end{aligned}$$

An argument similar to the preceding one shows that these definitions agree with those given in [1].

The formal properties of the derived functors, their comparison with satellites, the notion of balanced functor as treated in [1] can be translated into the language of exact categories. Also, the maps α and α' of Part II, §4 can be defined abstractly for functors of several variables.

PART IV. APPLICATIONS

1. **The functors Ext^n .** For each exact category $\mathcal{A}$, the functor $H(A, C)$ may be regarded as a functor contravariant in A , covariant in C , and with values in the exact category $\mathcal{M}$ of abelian groups. This functor is left exact; for a fixed A_0 , $H(A_0, C)$ is an exact functor of C if and only if A_0 is projective; for a fixed $Co \in \mathcal{A}$, $H(A, Co)$ is exact in A if and only if Co is injective. Thus $H(A, C)$ is right balanced [1].

If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Axioms V and VI, then $Ext^n(A, C)$ may be defined as the right derived functor with respect to the variable A (i.e., using a projective resolution of A). If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Axioms V and VI*, then injective resolutions of C may be used to define $Ext^n(A, C)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies Axioms V, VI, and VI*, either or both may be used.

We can define the global dimension of an exact category as the highest integer n for which $Ext^n(A, C) \neq 0$. A category has global dimension zero if and only if $H(A, C)$ is exact, i.e., if all elements of $\mathcal{A}$ are projective (or injective). This takes the place of semi-simple rings in [1].

2. **Axiomatic homology.** The axiomatic homology and cohomology theories of Eilenberg-Steenrod [3] may be defined using an arbitrary exact category $\mathcal{A}$ as the range of values of the theory. Thus, replacing $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{A}^*$ replaces a homology theory by a cohomology theory and vice versa. This duality principle simplifies the exposition of the theory. Furthermore, the uniqueness proof [3, Chap. IV] remains valid for such generalized homology and cohomology theories.

3. Pontrjagin duality. The Pontrjagin duality for discrete and compact abelian groups readily shows that the category $\mathcal{C}$ of compact abelian groups is the dual of the category $\mathcal{M}$ of discrete abelian groups. Thus we conclude that $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies Axioms V, VI, and VI*. In fact, in $\mathcal{C}$, the injectives are the toroids (since the only discrete abelian projectives are the free groups); and the projectives in $\mathcal{C}$ are those compact groups whose character groups are divisible.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1955.
2. S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane, *General theory of natural equivalences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 58 (1945) pp. 231–294.
3. S. Eilenberg and N. E. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton University Press, 1952.
4. S. MacLane, *Duality for groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 56 (1950) pp. 485–516.

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY,
PRINCETON, N. J.